(d) whether a large number of unauthorized colonies in existence for the last more than 10 years had already submitted their plans;

(e) if so, their number thereof;

(f) the specific reasons for asking those RWAs to submit plans of their colonies again; and

(g) by when these are likely to be regularized?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) to (c) Government of NCT of Delhi (GNCTD) has reported that 1409 Residents Societies/RWAs of unauthorized colonies have submitted their layout plans. Those meeting the requirements of guidelines shall be considered for regularization.

(d) Yes, Sir.

(e) GNCTD has reported that it has not conducted any survey of unauthorized colonies in existence for more than ten years.

(f) GNCTD has now asked the Residents Societies/RWAs to submit layout plans pursuant to the revised guidelines-2007 prepared by the Government of India.

(g) Clause 4.2 (i) of revised guidelines 2007 issued by the Government of India on 5 October, 2007 stipulated that after the receipt of the applications for regularization, the entire process of regularization of colony by GNCTD except change in land use is to be completed within six months and formal regularization after effecting change in land use within nine months. In case the time limit prescribed above need relaxation in respect of some colonies, the Lt. Governor, Delhi may relax the time limit on specific request of GNCTD.

However, as per clause 5.4 of the revised guidelines 2007, GNCTD may commence the development works and augmentation of infrastructure facilities in public interest in colonies/parts of colonies soon after the receipt of layout plan, if it is satisfied that these colonies or parts thereof fulfill the general principles contained in these guidelines.

As per clause 4.1 of the revised guidelines 2007 'Habitations' existing as on 31.3.2002 that have come up as extension to village abadi and have not been notified as Lai Dora extension to village abadi would be eligible for regularization on the same lines as unauthorized colonies subject to meeting the requirements of Regulations framed pursuant to these guidelines.

Final regularization has to take into account applicable orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court & High Court of Delhi.

**States' share For JNNURM Projects**

1537. DR. K. KESHAVA RAO:
SHRI GIREESH KUMAR SANGHI:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the States in the country that are covered by JNNURM where urban infrastructure projects are proposed to be set up and where urban infrastructure projects are grounded;

(b) whether it is a fact that a large number of projects are stranded due to States' share not coming forth at the second instalment phase;

(c) if so, the names of such States; and

(d) the action Government is taking to fulfil the mission?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) A list of States for which infrastructure projects under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) have been approved is given in Statement *(See* below)

(b) to (d) No, Sir. Ilnd installment has been released in respect of 33 projects/ Commitment with regard to availability of State Share is obtained prior to approval of any project under the Mission.

*Statement*

*List of States for which infrastructure projects under JNNURM have been approved*

Cumulative Release of Funds for Projects sanctioned **under** Urban infrastructure and Governance

| SI. No. | Name of State | Number of projects sanctioned | Cost of sanctioned projects (Rs. in lakh) | ACA admissible (Rs. in lakh) | ACA released (Rs. in lakh) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 39 | 377012.51 | 156499.63 | 31514.60 |
| 2. | Arunachal Pradesh | 2 | 8919.7 | 8027.73 | 2006.94 |
| 3. | Assam | 1 | 3516.71 | 3165.04 | 791.26 |
| 4. | Bihar | 1 | 3695.4 | 1847.70 | 461.93 |
| 5. | Chandigarh | 2 | 5698.6 | 4558.88 | 1544.92 |
| 6. | Chattisgarh | 1 | 30364 | 24291.20 | 6072.8 |
| 7. | Gujarat | 51 | 281605.54 | 119857.98 | 31379 |
| 8. | Haryana | 3 | 21097.7 | 10550.85 | 2637.72 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 2 | 2613.06 | 2090.45 | 522.61 |
| 10. | Jammu & Kashmir | 3 | 41052 | 36946.80 | 6081.35 |
| 11. | Karnataka | 39 | 274413.65 | 118491.96 | 24742.66 |
| 12. | Kerala | 7 | 70461 | 45044.40 | 10724.93 |
| 13. | Madhya Pradesh | 17 | 134359.15 | 67179.57 | 13738.96 |
| 14. | Maharashtra | 56 | 773245.1 | 351442.33 | 91432.34 |
| 15. | Manipur | 1 | 2580.71 | 2322.64 | 580.66 |
| 16. | Mizoram | 1 | 1681.8 | 1513.62 | 378.41 |
| 17. | Nagaland | 1 | 2525.6 | 2273.04 | 179 |
| 18. | Orissa | 2 | 50492.66 | 40394.13 | 5278.66 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 19. | Punjab | 2 | 32883 | 16441.50 | 4110.375 |
| 20. | Puducherry | 1 | 20340 | 16272.00 | 4068 |
| 21. | Rajasthan | 10 | 82768.17 | 53190.53 | 12896.76 |
| 22. | Sikkim | 1 | 2392.01 | 2152.81 | 538.2 |
| 23. | Tamil Nadu | 26 | 229833.37 | 102759.92 | 26143.36 |
| 24. | Uttar Pradesh | 16 | 190631.98 | 95612.97 | 20380.41 |
| 25. | Uttarakhand | 3 | 12334.13 | 9867.30 | 949.72 |
| 26. | West Bengal | 25 | 169504.59 | 62521.34 | 13261.12 |
| | TOTAL: | 313 | 2826022.14 | 1355316.32 | 312416.69 |

**Allotment of flats to societies**

t 1538. SHRI MOTILAL VORA:
SHRI SATYAVRAT CHATURVEDI:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of societies that applied for allotment of flats by DDA;

(b) the dates on which application of societies were received by DDA;

(c) thejeasons for the non-allotment of flats till now;

(d) the officials responsible for delay in the allotment of flats alongwith the action taken against them; and

(e) by when the allotment of flats would be made to the applicants?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY M AKEN): (a) and (b) The Delhi Development Authority (DDA) has informed that two Cooperative Group Housing Societies (CGHS) have applied for allotment of flats on 01.01.2008 and 04.01.2008.

(c) The reasons for the non-allotment of flats as furnished by DDA are non-submission of pre-occupancy certificate in one case and non-clearance of names of members by Registrar of Cooperative Societies in the other.

(d) DDA has informed that there is no delay on the part of any official in this regard.

(e) A specific time-frame can not be indicated at this stage in view of the position explained at answer to (c) above.

**Status of third floor under Master Plan-2021**

1539. SHRIALIANWAR: Will the Minister ofURBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the present status of third floor properties in plotted residential colonies in Delhi following the notification of Master Plan-2021;

t Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.